

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CR2011-132669-001 DT

07/12/2013

HONORABLE DAVID B. GASS

CLERK OF THE COURT
D. Gaudio/E. Aguilar
Deputy

STATE OF ARIZONA

LORI A EIDEMANIS
JAY ROBERT RADEMACHER

v.

AIMEE ROSE STENSGAARD (001)

BETH ALEXANDER
DANIEL B PATTERSON

COURT ADMIN-CRIMINAL-CCC
JUDGE WELTY
PRETRIAL SERVICES AGENCY-CCC

TRIAL CONTINUANCE PAST ORIGINAL LAST DAY

10:39 a.m. This is the time set for an Evidentiary Hearing.

Courtroom CCB 903

State's Attorney:	Jay Rademacher and Lori Eidemanis
Defendant's Attorney:	Beth Alexander and Daniel Patterson
Defendant:	Present
Court Reporter:	Luz Franco

LET THE RECORD REFLECT the Rule of Exclusion was invoked by the Defendant on September 06, 2012.

LET THE RECORD FURTHER REFLECT the victim's next of kin is present in the courtroom.

The Defendant's Exhibit 1 is marked for identification.

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CR2011-132669-001 DT

07/12/2013

The Defendant's Exhibit 1 is offered by Defendant and received in evidence.

Both sides announce ready.

Discussion is held regarding scheduling of witnesses still pending as stated on the record.

The State's witness Bobbie Masquelier having been previously sworn resumes the stand and testifies further.

11:59 a.m. Court stands at recess.

1:45 p.m. Court reconvenes with respective counsel and Defendant present.

Court Reporter, Luz Franco is present.

The State's witness Bobbie Masquelier having been previously sworn resumes the stand and testifies further.

The witness is excused.

The Court having considered the Motion to Continue by counsel for the State, good cause appearing, the Court finds,

1. The nonmoving party or parties: Stipulated to the continuance.
2. The Arraignment date was: 7/11/2011.
3. The original last day was: 12/08/2011.
4. The existing date of the trial when the motion was filed: Does not apply.
5. The number of continuances granted before this continuance was: 2.
6. The motion was: In writing.
7. The motion was filed at least 5 days before trial: Yes.
8. If filed untimely, the motion sets forth with specificity the reasons for its untimeliness: Does Not Apply.

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CR2011-132669-001 DT

07/12/2013

The Court finds that delay is indispensable to the interests of justice and that the following extraordinary circumstance(s) exist warranting the continuance:

This case has involved protracted pretrial litigation regarding evidence to be admitted at trial.

The Defendant waived applicable time limits: Yes.

IT IS ORDERED granting the Motion to Continue.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED setting the Trial date for **January 13, 2014 at 8:00 a.m. before Master Calendar Assignment Judge.**

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED setting a Final Trial Management Conference on **January 06, 2014 at 8:30 a.m. in this division.**

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED setting an Evidentiary Hearing on **October 04, 2013 at 10:30 a.m. in this division.**

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED affirming Evidentiary Hearing set for **July 26, 2013 at 10:30 a.m. in this division (4.5 hours time allotted).**

The Court notes, the deadline to file 404(b) Evidence and any pending Motions will be August 30, 2013, setting aside the issue with Mr. Kilpatrick.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED excluding all time from 7/12/2013 through 1/13/2014 (185 days).

NEW LAST DAY: 02/07/2014.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED affirming prior release orders.

1:46 p.m. Matter concludes.

This case is eFiling eligible: <http://www.clerkofcourt.maricopa.gov/efiling/default.asp>. Attorneys are encouraged to review Supreme Court Administrative Order 2011-140 to determine their mandatory participation in eFiling through AZTurboCourt.